

# Life Insurance

## – Assignment: Macro Longevity Risk –

Christoph Hambel

Tilburg University  
Tilburg School of Economics and Management  
Department of Econometrics and Operations Research

Spring Term 2026



- **Group size:** The assignment should be done in groups of three to five students.
- **Deadline:** 8pm, June 12, 2026.
- **Problems:** There are four exercises. For each exercise you can get 25 points, i.e., 100 points in total. This assignment counts for 15% of the final grade.
- **Data:** Use the data from the website of the Actuarial Association (<https://www.actuarieelgenootschap.nl/kennisbank/projections-life-table-ag2024-1>) to download the number of Dutch male and female deaths ( $D_{x,t}^{(g)}$ ) and exposures ( $E_{x,t}^{(g)}$ ) for the years 1970 to 2019 and the ages 0 to 90 years.
- **Programming Language:** Your code, your choice.
- **Submission:** Submit your typesetted results along with your code to [o.p.h.labout@tilburguniversity.edu](mailto:o.p.h.labout@tilburguniversity.edu)

The Lee-Carter model is given by

$$\ln(m_{x,t}^{(g)}) = \alpha_x^{(g)} + \beta_x^{(g)} \kappa_t^{(g)} + \varepsilon_{x,t}^{(g)},$$
$$\kappa_t^{(g)} = c^{(g)} + \kappa_{t-1}^{(g)} + \delta_t^{(g)},$$

where  $m_{x,t}^{(g)} = \frac{D_{x,t}^{(g)}}{E_{x,t}^{(g)}}$ ,  $\varepsilon_{x,t}^{(g)} \sim i.i.d. \mathcal{N}(0, \sigma_{\varepsilon^{(g)}}^2)$ , and  $\delta_t^{(g)} \sim i.i.d. \mathcal{N}(0, \sigma_{\delta^{(g)}}^2)$ .

- 1 Estimate the Lee-Carter model for both genders using the original Lee-Carter approach with (i) singular value decomposition, (ii) iterated least squares.
  - Report the estimation results of  $\alpha_x^{(g)}$ ,  $\beta_x^{(g)}$ , and  $\kappa_t^{(g)}$  in graphs, using the Lee-Carter normalization.
  - Also report the estimates of  $c^{(g)}$  and  $\sigma_{\delta^{(g)}}$ .
- 2 Show a sequence of graphs that illustrates the convergence of the iterative estimation procedure.
- 3 Comment on your findings including a comparison of the outcomes of the two estimation approaches.

The CBD model (Cairns, Blake, Dowd, 2006) specifies the logit of the one-year death probability directly as a linear function of age:

$$\ln\left(\frac{q_{x,t}^{(g)}}{p_{x,t}^{(g)}}\right) = \kappa_{1,t}^{(g)} + \kappa_{2,t}^{(g)}(x - \bar{x}),$$

where  $\bar{x}$  denotes the mean age in the sample and  $(\kappa_{1,t}^{(g)}, \kappa_{2,t}^{(g)})$  follow a bivariate random walk with drift.

- 1 Explain what happens if you replace the left-hand side of the Lee-Carter model  $\ln(m_{x,t}^{(g)})$  by  $\ln(q_{x,t}^{(g)}/p_{x,t}^{(g)})$  (CBD indicator), and re-estimate the Lee-Carter model with this alternative indicator.
- 2 Estimate the full CBD model for both genders via OLS and report the time series of  $\hat{\kappa}_{1,t}^{(g)}$  and  $\hat{\kappa}_{2,t}^{(g)}$  in graphs. Interpret the results economically. Also estimate the bivariate random walk with drift for  $(\kappa_{1,t}^{(g)}, \kappa_{2,t}^{(g)})$  and report the estimation results.
- 3 Compare fitted mortality rates and life expectancies from the CBD model to those from the Lee-Carter model for ages  $x = 45, 65, 85$ .

We consider two methods to extrapolate mortality rates beyond age 90.

**Kannisto method.** For ages  $x \in \{91, \dots, 120\}$ ,  $\hat{\mu}_{x,T+t}^{(g)}$  is determined as

$$\hat{\mu}_{x,T+t}^{(g)} = L \left( \sum_{k=80}^{90} w_k(x) L^{-1} \left( \hat{\mu}_{k,T+t}^{(g)} \right) \right),$$

where  $L(z) = \frac{1}{1+e^{-z}}$ ,  $L^{-1}(z) = \ln \left( \frac{z}{1-z} \right)$ , and

$$w_k(x) = \frac{1}{11} + \frac{(k-85)(x-85)}{110}.$$

**Coale–Kisker method.** For ages  $x \in \{91, \dots, 120\}$ , log-mortality is extrapolated linearly from age 80:

$$\ln \hat{\mu}_{x,t}^{(g)} = \ln \hat{\mu}_{80,t}^{(g)} + s_t^{(g)} (x - 80),$$

where the slope  $s_t^{(g)}$  is calibrated so that  $\hat{\mu}_{120,t}^{(g)} = 1$  (i.e., certain death by age 120).

- 1 Determine  $\hat{\mu}_{x,t}^{(g)}$  and  $\hat{p}_{x,t}^{(g)}$  for ages  $x = 91, \dots, 120$  and years  $t = 1970, \dots, 2019$  using both the Kannisto and the Coale–Kisker method, based on your Lee–Carter estimates from Problem 1.
  - Illustrate how the 1-year survival probabilities of 95-year-olds have evolved over time for both males and females under each method.
  - Plot the full age profile of extrapolated mortality rates for selected years (e.g. 1970, 1990, 2019) and both genders, comparing the two methods.
- 2 Compute the **period remaining life expectancies** in-sample for both males and females for four ages ( $x = 5, 35, 65, 95$ ), using each extrapolation method. Show the outcomes in graphs.
  - Discuss the sensitivity of remaining life expectancy at age 95 to the choice of extrapolation method.
- 3 Briefly compare the two extrapolation methods.
  - What are the structural assumptions underlying each method?
  - For which age groups and applications would you prefer one method over the other, and why?

- ① **Fan charts.** For ages  $x \in \{35, 65, 80\}$  and both genders, compute the distribution of remaining period life expectancy across the  $S$  simulated trajectories for each horizon year  $T + s$ ,  $s = 1, \dots, 20$ .
  - Present fan charts showing the 10th, 25th, 50th, 75th, and 90th percentiles of remaining life expectancy over the 20-year horizon.
- ② **Comparison with AG2024.** Download the AG2024 projected mortality rates and compute:
  - The difference in remaining life expectancy (your Lee-Carter median vs. AG2024 central projection) for  $x \in \{35, 65, 80\}$  over the 20-year horizon.
  - The fraction of your simulated trajectories that lie above the AG2024 central projection.
  - Comment on the main sources of discrepancy (model specification, parameter uncertainty, extrapolation) and their relevance for practice.