

Valuation and Risk Management 2023
Tilburg School of Economics and Management
Christoph Hambel
Problem Set 2

Problem 1 (Fundamental Notions)

- (a) Explain why neither the Vasicek model nor the Cox-Ingersol-Ross model is able to correctly model volatilities of and correlations between short-term interest rates and long-term interest rates.
- (b) State the definition of an *affine term structure model* and explain why this class is very common in quantitative finance.
- (c) Explain what is understood by *interest rate risk*. How is interest rate risk different from credit risk?
- (d) Explain why the Nelson-Siegel model is not free of arbitrage. Is this a big problem from a practical point of view? Which steps need to be carried out to make the model arbitrage-free?

Problem 2 (Relation between Vasicek and CIR) Consider the process

$$dY_t = (2aY_t + \sigma^2)dt + 2\sigma\sqrt{Y_t}dW_t$$

- (a) Determine the dynamics and the distribution of the process $X_t = \sqrt{Y_t}$.
- (b) What can you say about the distribution of Y_t given your calculations from part (a)?

Problem 3 (CIR Model) Consider the Cox-Ingersol-Ross Model

$$dr_t = a(b - r_t) dt + \sigma\sqrt{r_t} dW_t^{\mathbb{Q}}$$

where r_t is the short rate, and the process $W_t^{\mathbb{Q}}$ is a Brownian motion under the risk-neutral probability measure.

- (a) Determine the expectation of the future short rate $\mathbb{E}^{\mathbb{Q}}[r_T]$.

- (b) It is well-known that the CIR model admits an exponentially affine representation of the price of a zero coupon bond, i.e.,

$$P_t(T) = \exp(A(t, T) + B(t, T)r_t)$$

for some functions A and B . Derive an expression for the forward rate $F_t(T_1, T_2)$ in terms of the functions A and B and the current short rate r_t . Also derive the instantaneous forward rate $F_t(T)$.

Problem 4 (Interest Rate Options) A company is planning to take out a loan at a time T_1 in the future. The loan will be paid back at time $T_2 > T_1$. At that time the company will also pay the interest on the loan. The interest rate is determined at time T_1 . In this situation, the company faces the risk that at time T_1 interest rates will be high. In order to reduce this risk, the company can enter an “interest rate cap” at level r_{\max} . Such a contract has the following effect:

- (i) when the interest rate that holds at time T_1 for loans that mature at time T_2 is *higher* than r_{\max} , the effective interest rate paid by the company is only r_{\max} ;
- (ii) when the interest rate that holds at time T_1 for loans that mature at time T_2 is *less* than or equal to r_{\max} , the rate paid by the company is the actual rate.

Continuous compounding is assumed throughout.

- (a) Show that the company can achieve the effect of the interest rate cap by buying a put option on the value at time T_1 of a bond that matures at time T_2 . The payoff of the put option at time T_1 is

$$\max(K - P_{T_1}(T_2), 0)B$$

where $P_t(T)$ is the value at time t of a default-free bond that pays one unit of currency at time $T \geq t$, K is the strike of the option, and B is a number that determines the size of the option contract. Given the cap level r_{\max} and the amount A that the company wants to borrow at time T_1 , determine the strike level K and the number B so that the put option has the desired effect.

- (b) Consider now the put option of size 1, i.e., the put option with payoff $\max(K - P_{T_1}(T_2), 0)$, and assume we are working in a complete market. Using the numéraire-dependent pricing formula, show that the value of the put option at time $t < T_1$ is

given by

$$P_t(T_1)K\mathbb{E}_t^{\mathbb{Q}_{T_1}}[1_{\{P_{T_1}(T_2)<K\}}] - P_t(T_2)\mathbb{E}_t^{\mathbb{Q}_{T_2}}[1_{\{P_{T_1}(T_2)<K\}}]$$

where \mathbb{Q}_T denotes, for any given T , the T -terminal measure, that is, the equivalent martingale measure that corresponds to taking as a numéraire the bond that pays one unit of currency at time T .

Problem 5 (T -Forward Measure) It follows from the previous problem that the value of an interest rate cap can be determined within a given term structure model if it is possible, under any given terminal measure, to compute the probability that the bond price for a given maturity at a given future time will exceed a given level. Suppose now that we work with the Vasicek model, given in the following form:

$$dr_t = a(b - r_t) dt + \sigma dW_t^{\mathbb{Q}}$$

where r_t is the short rate, and the process $\{W_t^{\mathbb{Q}}\}$ is a Brownian motion under the risk-neutral measure. Recall that the price at time t of a bond maturing at time $T \geq t$ is given in the Vasicek model by an expression of the form

$$P_t(T) = \exp(A(t, T) + B(t, T)r_t)$$

where A and B are deterministic functions of time. You may express your answers to the questions below in terms of f and g . Recall also the change-of-numéraire formula

$$dW_t^N = dW_t^{\mathbb{Q}} - \frac{\sigma_N}{\pi_N} dt$$

where the process $\{W_t^N\}$ is a Brownian motion under the equivalent martingale measure corresponding to a new numéraire N_t .

- (a) Show that, for any given T , the Vasicek model can be written in the form

$$dr_t = (-ar_t + h(t)) dt + \sigma dW_t^T$$

where $h(t)$ is a deterministic function of time (which may depend on T) and the process $\{W_t^T\}$ is a Brownian motion under the T -terminal measure \mathbb{Q}_T . Determine the function $h(t)$ when T is given.

- (b) Show that, for any given $t \leq T_1 \leq T_2$, the conditional distribution (given information up to time t) of the short rate at time T_1 in the Vasicek model, under the T_2 -terminal measure, is normal. How does this help to compute the value of an interest rate cap?

Problem 6 (Credit Risk) Suppose Merton's firm value model is used to assess credit risk. The firm value evolves according to

$$dV_t = V_t[\mu dt + \sigma dW_t],$$

where all parameters are constant, and W_t is a standard Brownian motion under the physical measure \mathbb{P} . The risk-free term structure is flat with yield r , and the firm has emitted a single zero-coupon bond with notional F and maturity T .

- (a) Find an explicit expression for the *distance-to-default* that is the distance between the expected value of the asset and the default point.
- (b) In the lecture we discussed the formula

$$\sigma \frac{\Phi(d_1(\sigma))}{E(\sigma)} = \frac{\sigma_E}{V},$$

which relates the firm value volatility to the volatility of equity. Derive this formula.